

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,847		08/06/2003		Masanori Onuma	Q76879	6506	
	23373	7590	01/18/2006		EXAMINER		
	SUGHRUE	•	PLLC IA AVENUE, N.W.		LE, THANH TAM T		
	SUITE 800	12 (11)	2111121102,11.11		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			20037		2839		

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/634,847	ONUMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839	

Defense Alex Filines of an Annual Drief								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  5). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	` '						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause					
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / information (	1 102 024).					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	·	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profite status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a I).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>			nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N							
		Ve	·					
		Thanh-Tam T. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2839						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 12/05/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant states Swengel column 2, lines 64-66 do not teach the claimed compressing and Swengel teaches only crimping. The Examiner states "compressing" is same as "crimping". Based on a last paragraph of page 2 of this remarks, the step of "compressing the connecting member before or after an inserted poriton of the electric wire" are not disclosed in the claimed invention.